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Abstract;
Crowded teeth have a major negative influence on the dentomaxillary system. This article reports on the treatment of crowding with unilateral premolar extraction. A 22-year-old male presented with crowding of the upper and lower anterior teeth and buccally placed 13. The patient had a chief complaint of irregular upper and lower front teeth. Clinical examination showed a Class I relationship for the teeth, and cephalometric measurements showed a Class I skeletal tendency. The right upper and lower first premolars were extracted for anterior crowding correction, and a segmented T loop was used for canine retraction in the right upper arch. It is concluded that upper-first premolar extraction is one of the options in the treatment of crowding. Unilateral premolar extraction treatment can be performed to achieve a functionally stable occlusion and an aesthetically pleasing appearance for the patient.
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INTRODUCTION:
The treatment for dental crowding varies according to the magnitude of the problem. This therapy may involve follow-up to develop and correct the occlusion. However, this is not always the case, and correction may occur spontaneously in patients with slight crowding (up to 2 mm); cases of severe crowding (>9 mm) may require more extensive therapy with tooth extractions. Severe crowding caused by tooth size and arch length deficiency may be treated at an early stage with serial tooth extractions in the early mixed dentition (first transitory period) or with late extraction of the premolars in the permanent dentition.1 The main goal of orthodontic treatment is to obtain a positive relationship between the teeth and facial structures. Preservation of all dental units was mandatory to achieve facial balance, harmony, and esthetics. However, some studies related to the stability of treatments pointed out the necessity of tooth extractions in order to correct malocclusions. 2 Therefore, asymmetric extractions would be necessary and important to correct midline deviation for unilateral movement of the posterior teeth, reduce treatment time and tooth movement, facilitate orthodontic mechanics, and obtain more stable and functional results. Segmented T-loops favored the buccally placed canine.
CASE REPORT
DIAGNOSIS AND AETIOLOGY
A 22-year-old male patient had reported to the Department of Orthodontics with the chief complaint of irregular upper and lower front teeth. A facial photograph showed a straight profile. On intraoral examination, it was observed that the maxillary midline and mandibular midline were coinciding; the patient had a Class I molar relationship on both sides, and the canine relationship was Class III. Anterior crossbite was seen in irt 12 and 43. The maxillary arch was symmetric and U-shaped, and the mandibular arch was wide, symmetric, and U-shaped. The cephalometric findings revealed a hypodivergent growth pattern with a Class I skeletal base [Figure 2]. An orthopantomogram was also recorded [Figure 2].

FIGURE 1: EXTRAORAL AND INTRAORAL PRE-TREATMENT PHOTOGRAPHS

FIGURE 2: PRE-TRAINMENT RADIOGRAPHS

Treatment plan
On considering the diagnostic criteria, a decision was made for unilateral first premolar extractions on the right side, alignment of the dentition into the arches, and retraction of the right upper canine with a segmented T loop (with a 0.017 x 0.025 TMA wire).

Treatment progress
Preadjusted edgewise MBT.022 slot brackets were bonded in the upper and lower arches, and initial alignment using 016 NiTi wire was done without involving the blocked-out canine in the continuous wire. The extraction of the maxillary right first premolar was carried out. After sufficient space had been created, the right maxillary canine was bonded and aligned within the arch using a segmented T-loop. The mandibular right first premolar was
extracted to maintain the canine relationship and the overbite. The mandibular left canine was retracted into the extraction space using an active tieback. Space created was used for the correction of crowding of the mandibular incisors and midline correction.

FIGURE 3: SEGMENTED T-LOOP GIVEN FOR 13 RETRACTIONS

FIGURE 4: INTRAORAL TREATMENT PROGRESS

FIGURE 5: INTRAORAL RADIOGRAPHS (IN TREATMENT PROGRESS)

TREATMENT RESULTS
An ideal Class I occlusion was achieved in the patient with a positive overbite and overjet. The smile appearance improved along with the esthetic profile of the patient, which was maintained. The upper and lower midline coincided with each other. The treatment result justified all the methods used along with the input material as well as the psychological aspect.
DISCUSSION
The present case was of a non-growing male patient with buccally placed canine and anterior crossbite in 12 and 43. Malocclusions with ectopically erupted canines are typically dealt with through all four first premolar extractions.3-9 The decision regarding the extraction or non-extraction treatment plan is based on the amount of arch-length tooth material discrepancy and the facial profile of the patient. According to Proffit and Fields, teeth length arch period discrepancies beneath four mm teeth extraction are hardly ever required, while discrepancies between five mm and nine mm permit remedy to be achieved without or with extractions, relying on the traits of the patient. In our patient, the tooth size-arch length discrepancy was 9 mm in the maxillary arch and 9 mm in the mandibular arch. Thus, it no longer suggests the extraction of all first premolars, as is robotically observed in instances with ectopic canines.10-12

The desire to extract teeth for orthodontic treatment ought to no longer be primarily based on the space between teeth but also on different factors, including the appearance and stability of the face.
According to Ramos et al., after 1 mm of retraction of the upper incisors, the upper lip retracts by 0.75 mm.13 Incisor retraction of 1 mm results in upper lip
retraction of 0.64 mm. For each 1 mm of lower incisor retraction, the lower lip retracts 0.6 mm. In our case, the patient has a pleasing profile. Thus, it did now indicate the extraction of all four first premolars, which would have resulted in a retrusive profile. A treatment plan was devised in such a way that space could be created for the blocked-out canine.

While correcting the position of a highly placed canine, it should not be engaged in the continuous wire so as to avoid the intrusive side effect on the adjacent lateral incisor. Once the canine is brought close to the occlusal plane, it can be aligned into the arch using continuous archwire mechanics. Elastics were required in this patient for coordination of the maxillary and mandibular midlines. Midline shift can occur as a result of unilateral or asymmetric extractions; thus, coordination of midlines should be undertaken carefully in these cases.

CONCLUSION

Unilateral extractions can give good aesthetic results with stable occlusion that maintains a good impact on the dentomaxillary system. It should be underken carefully to prevent midline shift and the development of arch asymmetry during asymmetric or unilateral extraction treatment methods. An ideal Class I occlusion was achieved in this case with improved smile esthetics while maintaining the pleasing and esthetic profile of the patient. Asymmetric or unilateral extractions could simplify and facilitate orthodontic treatment and mechanics in some specific cases. As a result, the first molar relationship could differ on the right or left sides, but this asymmetry would not cause functional or aesthetic problems for the patient.
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